# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Ruthenium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Hydrogenation of Aryl-Pyridyl Ketones

Xiaoming Tao, $^\dagger$  Wanfang Li, $^\dagger$  Xin Ma, $^\dagger$  Xiaoming Li, $^\dagger$  Weizheng Fan, $^\dagger$  Xiaomin Xie, $^\dagger$  Tahar Ayad, $^{\$}$ Virginie Ratovelomanana-Vidal,\*,§ and Zhaoguo Zhang\*,†,‡

† School of Chemistry and Chemical E[ngi](#page-4-0)neering, Shanghai Jiao Ton[g U](#page-4-0)niversity, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, China ‡ Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

§ ENSCP Chimie ParisTech, Laboratoire Charles Friedel (LCF), CNRS, UMR 7223, 75005 Paris, France

**S** Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Various substituted aryl-pyridyl ketones were hydrogenated in the presence of Ru-XylSunPhos-Daipen bifunctional catalytic system with enantiomeric excesses up to 99.5%. Upon introduction of a readily removable ortho-bromo atom to the phenyl ring, enantiomerically enriched 4-chlorophenylpyridylmethanol was obtained by hydrogenation method with 97.3% ee, which provided an important chiral intermediate for some histamine  $H_1$  antagonists.



## **ENTRODUCTION**

Preparation of enantiomerically pure secondary alcohols is an important subject in organic synthesis, which continues to attract extensive interest. Secondary alcohols bearing heterocycles are key intermediates for many pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and biologically relevant compounds.<sup>1</sup> For example, carbinoxamine and bepotastine besilate (Figure 1) are two



Figure 1. Structures of carbinoxamine and bepotastine besilate.

histamine  $H_1$  antagonists.<sup>2</sup> They both contain an (S)-4-chlorophenylpyridylmethanol moiety, which has been previously produced by either resol[u](#page-4-0)tion of the racemates or oxazaborolidine-catalyzed reduction of ketones.<sup>3</sup> However, both synthetic routes suffered from either low enantioselectivity or poor efficiency. Accordingly, the search for effective, [h](#page-4-0)ighly enantioselective, and atom-economic processes to obtain such chiral moieties is of great significance.

Asymmetric hydrogenation of prochiral ketones is one of the most efficient methods for producing enantiomerically pure secondary alcohols.<sup>4</sup> In the 1990s, a major breakthrough was made by Noyori and co-workers with bifunctional catalyst  $RuCl<sub>2</sub>(diphosphine)(diamine).<sup>5</sup> Such catalysts showed high effi RuCl<sub>2</sub>(diphosphine)(diamine).<sup>5</sup> Such catalysts showed high effi RuCl<sub>2</sub>(diphosphine)(diamine).<sup>5</sup> Such catalysts showed high effi$ ciency in asymmetric hydrogenation of nonfunctionalized  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ unsaturated ketones<sup>5a,b</sup> and al[so](#page-4-0) some heteroaromatic ketones.<sup>6</sup> After that, Burk et al. reported that the ruthenium complexes based upon the nov[el P](#page-4-0)hanePhos ligands also performed well i[n](#page-4-0) the highly enantioselective hydrogenation of ketones.<sup>7</sup> Many

other ligands have also emerged and performed well in asymmetric hydrogenation of prochiral ketones.<sup>8</sup> As far as asymmetric hydrogenation of benzopyridine derivatives is concerned, only limited success has been achieved for s[uc](#page-4-0)h approach using Binap-type derivatives with enantiomeric excess values ranging from 3 to 80% ee and only two examples over 90% ee. $6b$ , 9 Therefore, asymmetric hydrogenation of aryl-pyridyl ketone[s](#page-4-0) [is](#page-4-0) still a challenging work.

Our group has designed some atropisomeric  $C_2$ -symmetric biaryl biphosphines-SunPhos ligands with complementary steric and electronic properties (Scheme 1), which were found to be

#### Scheme 1. SunPhos and SunPhos/Daipen-Ru(II) Catalysts



very effective in asymmetric hydrogenation of  $\alpha$ - and  $β$ -ketoesters,<sup>10</sup>  $β$ -ketosulfones,<sup>10h</sup> and several other polyfunctionalized ketones.<sup>10i</sup> We report herein a new application of SunPho[s](#page-4-0) derivatives as [liga](#page-4-0)nds for the asymmetric hydrogenation of aryl-[pyr](#page-4-0)idyl ketones using a diphosphine− ruthenium−diamine system.

Received: October 25, 2011 Published: November 22, 2011

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The  $RuCl<sub>2</sub>(diphosphine)(diamine)$  complexes were prepared according to Noyori's protocol.<sup>5a</sup> The catalysts 2 (Scheme 1) were prepared by reacting ligands 1 with  $[RuCl_2(benzene)]_2$  in DMF at 100 °C, followed by [th](#page-4-0)e treatment of the resulti[ng](#page-0-0) reddish brown solution with 1.1 equiv of Daipen at room temperature. The complexes were used as the precatalyst directly in the hydrogenation reactions without any further purification.

We initiated our study by screening catalysts in the hydrogenation of o-tolyl-2-pyridylmethanone 3a. The hydrogenation of 3a was carried out with an  $S/C = 200$  under 8 atm of hydrogen pressure at 25 °C in *i*-PrOH containing  $(S, S)$ -2 and  $t$ -C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>OK. Best results were obtained in terms of enantioselectivities using Xyl-SunPhos (1c) compared to the parent ligands SunPhos (1a) or Tol-SunPhos (1b). Because the selectivity relies on the synergistic effects of the chiral diphosphine and diamine ligands,<sup>5a,c,8b</sup> the diphosphine and diamine ancillaries must be properly matched. In this context, the 3,5-dimethyl substituents on t[he phe](#page-4-0)nyl rings of the ligand Xyl-SunPhos (1c) were essential for the high enantioselectivities.<sup>11</sup> For example, the precatalysts  $(S,S)$ -2a and  $(R,R)$ -2b without meta-3,5-dialkyl substituents provided the corresponding [alc](#page-4-0)ohols in only 60.1 and 51.3% ee under otherwise identical conditions.

Optimization of solvents, hydrogen pressure, and reaction temperatures are summarized in Table 1. Enantioselectivities in

Table 1. Optimization of Solvent, Pressure, and Temperature<sup>a</sup>

CH <sub>3</sub> O 3a		Ru cat 2c $t$ -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> OK i-PrOH, H <sub>2</sub>	$CH3$ OH 4a	
entry	solvent	$P$ (atm)	$T({}^{\circ}C)$	ee $(\%)^b$
$\mathbf{1}$	MeOH	8	25	96.3
$\overline{2}$	EtOH	8	25	98.6
3	i-PrOH	8	25	98.9
$\overline{4}$	i-PrOH	8	40	98.1
5	i-PrOH	8	55	93.6
6	i-PrOH	30	25	98.9
7	i-PrOH	50	25	98.8

a Unless otherwise stated, reactions were conducted using 1 mmol of the substrate 3a in  $i$ -PrOH  $(0.5 \text{ M})$  containing the precatalyst 2c and  $t$ -C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>OK. Substrate/catalyst/base = 200:1:10. Conversion: 100%,  $\frac{1}{2}$  constraintly example, but  $\frac{1}{2}$  constraints by HPLC.

MeOH or EtOH were very high (entries 1 and 2, up to 98.6% ee), and i-PrOH was the solvent of choice for this transformation (entry 3, 98.9% ee). The results depicted in Table 1 showed that the stereochemical outcome of the hydrogenation was strongly dependent on the temperature (entries 4 and 5, 98.1 vs 93.6% ee at 40 and 50  $^{\circ}$ C).<sup>12</sup> Unexpectedly, the enantioselectivity was hardly affected by the hydrogen pressure in our optimization.

On the basis of [th](#page-4-0)ese results, the optimized reaction conditions were therefore set as the following: i-PrOH as the solvent,  $(S, S)$ -2c as the catalyst, and t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>OK as the base, 8 atm of H<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C for 16 h.

Under these reaction conditions, a variety of aryl-pyridyl ketones were hydrogenated, and the results are depicted in Table 2. A range of ortho-substituted substrates gave excellent enantiomeric excesses up to 99.6% (Table 2, entries 1−7). For

Table 2. Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Aryl-Pyridyl Ketones<sup>a</sup>

	,	ັ		
	$R^2$ $\mathsf{R}^1$ 3	Ru cat 2c $t$ -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> OK $i$ -PrOH, $H_2$	$\mathsf{R}^1$	OH $\ast$ $R^2$ 4
entry	3	R <sup>1</sup>	$R^2$	ee $(\%)^b$
$\mathbf{1}$	3a	o-Me	$2-py$	98.9
$2^c$	3a	$o$ -Me	$2-py$	98.8
3	3 <sub>b</sub>	o-OMe	$2-py$	99.6
$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3c	$o\text{-}\mathrm{F}$	$2-py$	98.9
5	3d	o-Cl	$2-py$	99.5
6	3e	o-Br	$2-py$	99.3
7	3f	$o$ -Br- $p$ -Cl	$2-py$	99.5 <sup>d</sup>
8	$3\mathrm{g}$	m-Me	$2-py$	30.4
9	3h	$p$ -Me	$2-py$	26.7
10	3i	p-OMe	$2-py$	61.5
11	3j	$p$ -CF <sub>3</sub>	$2-py$	55.8
12	3k	$o$ -Me	$3-py$	81.5
13	31	$o$ -Me	$4-py$	90.0
14	3m	$o$ -Me	2-pyrazine	84.4

 $a$ Unless otherwise stated, reactions were conducted at 8 atm of  $\rm{H}_{2}$  for 16 h at 25 °C using 1 mmol of the substrate 3 in i-PrOH (0.5 M) containing the precatalyst  $2c$  and  $t$ -C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>OK. Substrate/catalyst/base = 200:1:10. Conversion:  $100\%$ , quantitative yield. <sup>b</sup>Determined by HPLC on a ChiralPak AD-H column. <sup>c</sup> Reaction using 9.8 g of 3a at 20 atm of H<sub>2</sub> for 48 h at 25 °C. Substrate/catalyst/base =  $10000:1:50$ . Determined by HPLC on ChiralPak OD-H column.

example, the hydrogenation of o-tolyl-2-pyridylmethanone 3a in the presence of  $(S, S)$ -2c (substrate/catalyst/base = 200:1:10, 8 atm of H<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 16 h) afforded (R)-4a<sup>13</sup> in 98.9% ee (Table 2, entry 1). Furthermore, the reaction proceeded smoothly on multigram scale with excellent enantiof[ac](#page-4-0)ial discrimination up to 98.8% ee and complete conversion under 20 atm of hydrogen pressure and 25 °C using a low catalyst loading of 0.01 mol % of  $(S, S)$ -2c (entry 2, Table 2). Since high enantioselectivity for the hydrogenation of unsymmetrical diaryl ketones relies on the presence of an ortho substituent in one of the aromatic rings,<sup>6a</sup> we reasoned that the same steric effect may be applied to the pyridinyl ketones to override the electronic effect. Lik[ely](#page-4-0), the aryl-pyridyl 3d with an electronattracting chloro substituent, sterically similar but electronically different from methyl, gave the alcohol  $(R)$ -4d<sup>14</sup> in 99.5% ee. Similarly, the hydrogenation of o-methoxy, o-fluoro, and o-bromo aryl-pyridyl ketones 3b, 3c, and 3e [also](#page-4-0) displayed a high degree of enantioselectivities (entries 3, 4, and 6, respectively, 99.6, 98.9, and 99.3% ee). This indicated that the ortho steric effect plays a crucial role in the reduction. The sense of asymmetric induction in the reactions of these heteroatomsubstituted ketones was identical to that observed with the methyl derivative, o-tolyl-2-pyridylmethanone 3a, indicating that the possible interaction of the ortho heteroatom (F, Cl, Br) to the Ru catalyst was not the origin of enantioselection.<sup>15</sup> Simple meta- and para-substituted substrates led to moderate enantio-selectivities [\(](#page-4-0)entries 8 and 9). In the presence of  $(S, S)$ -2c, reaction of ketone 3i with an electron-donating methoxy group at the 4′ position afforded 4i in 61.5% ee, while substitution of an electron-attracting trifluoromethyl group (3j) slightly reduced the enantioselectivity and afforded 4j in 55.8% ee. The meta-methyl derivative afforded alcohol 4g in only 30.4% ee. Electronic influences of the para substituents were presumed to affect the extent of the coplanarity of the benzene rings with

 $C=O$  function in the transition state,<sup>16</sup> thereby generating an asymmetric bias. Enantioselective hydrogenation of o-tolyl-3 pyridylmethanone (3k), o-tolyl-4-pyri[dy](#page-4-0)lmethanone (3l), and  $o$ -tolyl-2-pyrazylmethanone  $(3m)$  afforded the corresponding alcohols, 4k, 4l, and 4m, in 81.5, 90.0, and 84.4% ee, respectively.

Finally, a synthesis of the key intermediate of carbinoxamine and bepotastine besilate<sup>3b,17</sup> was performed. The hydrogenation product of o-bromo-p-chlorobenzoyl 2-pyridine (3f) can be converted to a ke[y int](#page-4-0)ermediate for carbinoxamine or bepotastine besilate (Scheme 2). Thus the hydrogenation of a





0.5 M solution of 3f in i-PrOH containing the Ru-Xyl-SunPhos/ Daipen complex  $(S, S)$ -2c and  $t$ -C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>OK (substrate/catalyst/ base = 200:1:10, 8 atm of H<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 16 h) afforded quantitatively 4f in 99.5% ee. Lithiation of the bromoalcohol with 2.2 equiv of n-BuLi in THF at −78 °C for 1.5 h followed by hydrolysis gave (R)-5 in 85% yield with 97.3% ee ( $\left[ \alpha \right]^{23}$ <sub>D</sub> –148 (c 0.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)).<sup>14</sup> The chiral alcohol can readily be converted to carbinoxamine or bepotastine besilate.<sup>3b,17</sup>

## ■ CO[N](#page-4-0)CLUSION

In summary, we have developed a catalyst generated from Ru-Xyl-SunPhos and Daipen, which proved to be effective toward the enantioselective hydrogenation of a variety of arylpyridyl ketones, especially ortho-substituted aryl-pyridyl ketones with high enantiomeric excess. This atom-economical protocol offers several advantages, including operational simplicity, mild reaction conditions, high chemical yields, and enantioselectivities up to 99.5%. Studies of the catalyst in enantioselective hydrogenation of other types of substrates are in progress.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General. Commercially available reagents were used throughout without further purification other than those detailed below. The solvents used in catalyst preparation and hydrogenation reactions were pretreated by the following procedures: DMF and i-PrOH were distilled over calcium hydride. MeOH and EtOH were distilled over magnesium under nitrogen. All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques or in a nitrogen-filled glovebox, unless otherwise noted. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz, with TMS as internal standard. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained at 101 MHz and referenced to the central peak of 77.1 ppm for CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Coupling constants  $(J)$  are reported in hertz and refer to apparent peak multiplications. Mass spectroscopy data were collected on an HRMS-EI instrument. Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel (300−400 mesh).

Typical Procedure for the Preparation 3a–e, 3g–m.<sup>18</sup> A solution of the appropriate bromophenyl derivative (20.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in 35.0 mL of THF was treated with magnesium ([20.4](#page-4-0) mmol, 1.02 equiv). After the formation of the Grignard reagent, the solution was added to a solution of the appropriate carbonitrile (15.3 mmol, 0.77 equiv) in THF (15.0 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C. When TLC showed no more starting material, the reaction was quenched by addition of a solution of saturated NH4Cl. The organic layer was separated and extracted twice with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . After evaporation, the organic layer was redissolved in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (80.0 mL) and 6 M HCl (10.0 mL) was added. After 30 min, the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was basified with saturated  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  and then extracted three times with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The combined organic layers were dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate.

 $o$ -Tolyl-2-pyridylmethanone $^{19}$  (3a):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.69 (ddd, J = 4.8, 1.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.10–8.06 (m, 1H), 7.88 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.47−[7.](#page-4-0)38 (m, 3H), 7.29−7.23 (m, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  197.5, 155.2, 149.4, 137.9, 137.5, 137.7, 131.4, 131.5, 130.9, 126.7, 125.1, 124.2, 20.6.

2-o-Methoxybenzoylpyridine<sup>20</sup> (3b):  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.73−8.67 (m, 1H), 8.01 (ddd, J = 7.8, 1.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.92−7.85 (m, 1H), 7.61 (ddd, J [= 6](#page-4-0).7, 2.7, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.50−7.44  $(m, 1H)$ , 7.38 (dd, J = 6.0, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.09  $(m, 1H)$ , 3.85 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  193.7, 159.1, 155.4, 148.8, 137.9, 137.1, 129.4, 126.4, 124.0, 124.0, 119.6, 115.0, 55.4.

2-o-Fluorobenzoylpyridine<sup>21</sup> (3c):  ${}^{1}H$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.68 (ddd, J = 4.7, 1.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.16–8.10 (m, 1H), 7.91 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.[54](#page-4-0)−7.35 (m, 5H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 193.4, 149.2, 137.0, 133.8, 133.9, 131.3, 127.0, 124.5, 123.5, 116.5, 116.1.

2-o-Chlorobenzoylpyridine $^{22}$  (3d):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.68 (ddd, J = 4.7, 1.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.16–8.10 (m, 1H), 7.91 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7[.54](#page-4-0)−7.35 (m, 5H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  195.4, 153.6, 149.3, 138.5, 137.1, 132.0, 131.9, 130.4, 127.1, 126.7, 123.8.

2-o-Brombenzoylpyridine<sup>21</sup> (3e):  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.66 (ddd, J = 4.7, 1.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.16–8.11 (m, 1H), 7.89 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.63−7.58 ([m, 1](#page-4-0)H), 7.49−7.39 (m, 3H), 7.34 (ddd,  $J = 7.9, 7.2, 2.1$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  195.8, 153.3, 149.4, 140.3, 137.1, 133.0, 131.6, 129.8, 127.1, 127.0, 124.0, 120.9.

 $m$ -Tolyl-pyridylmethanone $^{19}$  (3g):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.71 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.90– 7.78 (m, 3H), 7.50−7.30 (m, 3H), [2.](#page-4-0)41 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 194.3, 155.8, 148.5, 138.1, 137.8, 136.4, 133.4, 131.3, 128.3, 128.2, 126.7, 124.4, 21.8.

 $p$ -Tolyl-2-pyridylmethanone $^{19}$  (3h):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.01−7.92 (m, 3H), 7.86−7.76 (m, 1H), 7.41 (d, [J](#page-4-0) = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 193.3, 155.2, 148.3, 143.6, 136.8, 133.5, 131.3, 128.7, 125.9, 124.3, 21.6.

2-p-Methoxybenzoylpyridine<sup>19</sup> (3i): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.71−8.63 (m, 1H), 8.12−8.08 (m, 2H), 7.97 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.90 −7.83 (m, 1H), [7.](#page-4-0)47−7.42 (m, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  192.3, 163.6, 155.8, 148.4, 137.0, 133.5, 129.0, 125.9, 124.5, 113.6, 55.5.

2-p-Trifuoromethylbenzoylpyridine<sup>23</sup> (3j):  $\,{}^{1}\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.75–8.69 (m, 1H), 8.22–8.10 (m, 3H), 7.94 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.77−7.71 (m, 2H), 7.53 (ddd, J = 7.6[, 4](#page-4-0).8, 1.2 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 193.0, 154.4, 148.9, 139.6, 137.5, 134.7, 134.0, 131.5, 127.0, 125.5, 125.0.

 $o$ -Tolyl- $\bar{\bf 3}$ -pyridylmethanone $^{19}$  (3k):  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR  $(400$  MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.93 (dd, J = 2.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.79 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.17−8.10 (m, 1H), 7.43 (d[dd,](#page-4-0) J = 4.9, 4.5, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (ddd, J = 19.5, 10.6, 4.8 Hz, 4H), 2.38 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 197.0, 153.7, 151.4, 137.9, 137.1, 132.9, 131.5, 131.2, 129.1, 125.5, 124.4, 123.6, 20.3.

 $o$ -Tolyl-4-pyridylmethanone $^{21}$  (3l):  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.79 (dd, J = 4.5, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (dd, J = 4.5, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.48−7.42 (m, 1H), 7.36−7.2[3 \(](#page-4-0)m, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H); 13C NMR  $(101 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  197.3, 150.7, 144.3, 138.1, 136.5, 131.7, 131.8, 129.6, 125.5, 123.0, 20.5.

 $o$ -Tolyl-2-pyrazylmethanone<sup>24</sup> (3m):  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.27 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.64 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.29 (dd, J = 15.3, 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  195.7, 149.8, 147.3, 145.8, 143.8, 138.4, 136.4, 131.7, 131.6, 130.5, 125.9, 20.7.

Typical Procedure for the Preparation 2-(2-Bromo-4- chlorobenzoyl)pyridine (3f).<sup>25</sup> In a 250 mL round-bottomed flask, 2-bromo-4-chlorobenzoyl chloride (5.0 g, 19.7 mmol) and 18.0 mL of THF were placed. N[ext,](#page-4-0) a solution of 2-pyridylzinc bromide (5.3 g, 23.6 mmol) in THF (15.0 mmol) was added into the reaction flask via a syringe. The resulting mixture was stirred at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 4 h and quenched with saturated NH4Cl solution, then extracted with ether (13.0 mL  $\times$  3). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and brine and then dried with anhydrous Na2SO4. The crude product was purified by column chromatography  $(PE/EA = 5:1)$  to give 3f as yellow oil: 4.8 g (82.2%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.68–8.65 (m, 1H), 8.16 (td, J = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.91  $(id, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (ddd, J = 7.6,$ 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 194.7, 153.1, 149.2, 138.6, 137.1, 136.8, 132.8, 130.8, 127.4, 127.4, 123.9, 120.8.

Typical Procedure for the Asymmetric Hydrogenation. To a 20 mL Schlenk tube were added  $[RuCl_2(benzene)]_2$  (5.0 mg, 0.01 mmol) and (S)-Xyl-SunPhos (17.2 mg, 0.02 mmol). The tube was vacuumed and purged with nitrogen three times before addition of freshly distilled and freeze-and-thaw degassed DMF (1.5 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C for 10 min to form a reddish brown solution. After the solution was cooed to room temperature, (S)-Daipen (6.9 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at 25 °C; the solvent was then removed under reduced pressure (1 Torr) to give the catalyst as a brownish yellow solid. The catalyst was dissolved in degassed  $i$ -PrOH  $(8.0 \text{ mL})$ , and then the solution was equally divided into four vials which contained 1 mmol of substrate, and the base  $(t-C_4H_9OK)$  was added. Then the vials were transferred into an autoclave. The autoclave was purged five times with  $H_2$ , and the required pressure of  $H_2$  was set. The autoclave was stirred under specified reaction conditions. After being cooled to ambient temperature and careful release of the hydrogen, the autoclave was opened and the solvent was evaporated. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC after passing the residue through a short pad of silica gel column with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate.<br>**o-Tolyl-2-pyridylmethanol<sup>6b</sup> (4a):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.59 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.25−7.15 (m, 5H), 7.03 (d, J = [7.9](#page-4-0) Hz, 1H), 5.97 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 161.1, 147.9, 140.8, 136.9, 136.4, 131.0, 128.5, 127.9, 126.3, 122.5, 121.5, 72.3, 19.0; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/i-PrOH: 96/4, 0.7 mL min<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> , 220 nm)  $t_1 = 22.6$  min,  $t_2 = 29.8$  min.

o-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-pyridinemethanol $^{20}$  (4b):  $^{1}$ H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  8.52 (dd, J = 4.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.33−7.27 (m, 2H), 7.23 (dd, J = 8.0[, 1](#page-4-0).7 Hz, 1H), 7.16− 7.12 (m, 1H), 6.97−6.87 (m, 2H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 161.6, 156.6, 147.7, 136.7, 131.9, 128.7, 127.8, 122.2, 121.5, 120.9, 110.7, 69.9, 55.5; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/i-PrOH: 92/8, 0.8 mL min<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> , 220 nm)  $t_1 = 17.7$  min,  $t_2 = 21.9$  min.

o-(2-Fulorophenyl)-2-pyridinemethanol $^{26}$  (4c):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.57–8.49 (m, 1H), 7.63 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (td, J = 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.25−7.16 (m[, 3](#page-4-0)H), 7.13−7.01 (m, 2H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 5.51 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 147.9, 137.6, 129.9, 129.1, 128.6, 128.2, 124.5, 122.7, 121.2, 115.6, 115.4, 68.3; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/i-PrOH: 96/4, 0.7 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1 = 22.9$  min,  $t_2 = 28.5$  min.

o-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-pyridinemethanol<sup>27</sup> (4d): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.62–8.49 (m, 1H), 7.61 (td, J = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.35 (m, 2H), 7.28−7.07 (m, 4H), [6.2](#page-4-0)8 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.8, 147.9, 140.7, 137.4, 132.8, 129.6, 128.9, 128.8, 127.3, 122.8, 121.4, 70.9; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/i-PrOH: 96/4, 0.7 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$ , 220 nm)  $t_1 = 23.7 \text{ min}$ ,  $t_2 = 27.9 \text{ min}$ .

o-(2-Bromophenyl)-2-pyridinemethanol $^{28}$  (4e):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.57–8.49 (m, 1H), 7.63 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (td, J = 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.25−7.16 (m, 3H), 7.13−7.01 (m, 2H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 5.51 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 160.0, 148.1, 142.1, 137.6, 133.0, 129.8, 129.9, 128.3, 123.3, 122.9, 121.6, 73.2; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/i-PrOH: 96/4, 0.7 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1 = 25.6$  min,  $t_2 = 28.6$  min.

 $o$ -(2-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-2-pyridinemethanol (4f).  $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.60–8.55 (m, 1H), 7.64 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.27− 7.26 (m, 1H), 7.25−7.22 (m, 2H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 5.52 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.4, 148.3, 141.3, 137.6, 134.3, 132.4, 130.1, 128.3, 123.3, 123.3, 121.4, 72.7; HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H column, hexane/*i*-PrOH: 96/4, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1 = 14.4$  min,  $t_2$  = 22.8 min; HRMS calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>9</sub>BrClNO (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 297.9630, found 297.9631.

 $m$ -Tolyl-2-pyridylmethanol $^{29}$  (4g):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.59–8.54 (m, 1H), 7.62 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.25– 7.14 (m, 5H), 7.09 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, [1H](#page-4-0)), 5.72 (s, 1H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.2, 147.1, 143.7, 138.4, 136.9, 128.7, 128.8, 127.8, 124.3, 122.5, 121.9, 75.9, 21.8; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/i-PrOH: 92/8, 0.7 mL min<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> , 220 nm)  $t_1 = 16.3$  min,  $t_2 = 24.7$  min.

 $p$ -Tolyl-2-pyridylmethanol $^{29}$  (4h):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.57–8.54 (m, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.21−7.08 (m, 5H), 5.[73](#page-4-0) (s, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H); 13C NMR  $(101 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$  δ 161.4, 147.9, 140.4, 137.6, 136.9, 129.3, 127.1, 122.4, 121.4, 77.4, 77.1, 76.8, 74.9, 21.2; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/*i*-PrOH: 92/8, 0.7 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1 = 19.3$  min,  $t_2$  = 23.4 min.

 $o$ -(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-pyridinemethanol $^{6b}$  (4i):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.56 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.30−7.24 (m, 2H), 7.16 (ddd, J = 8.4, 7.4, 2.7 [Hz](#page-4-0), 2H), 6.89−6.83  $(m, 2H)$ , 5.71 (d,  $J = 3.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d,  $J = 3.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.2, 159.3, 147.8, 136.9, 135.6, 128.5, 122.4, 121.4, 114.0, 74.6, 55.4; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/*i*-PrOH: 92/8, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1 = 25.4$  min,  $t_2$  = 30.8 min.

 $o$ -(4-Trifuoromethylphenyl)-2-pyridinemethanol $^{23}$  (4j):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.56 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.48 (m, 5H), 7.25−7.11 (m, 2H), 5.80 (s, 1H), 5.43 (s, 1H[\);](#page-4-0) 13C NMR  $(101 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  160.1, 148.2, 147.3, 137.3, 130.0, 127.4, 125.6, 125.2, 123.0, 121.4, 74.6; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/  $i$ -PrOH: 95/5, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1 = 16.3$  min,  $t_2 = 23.9$  min.

o-Tolyl-3-pyridylmethanol $^9$  (4k):  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.40 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.49−7.45 (m, 1H[\)](#page-4-0), 7.23−7.16 (m, 3H), 7.15−7.11 (m, 1H), 5.96 (s, 1H), 4.50 (s, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  148.4, 148.0, 141.0, 139.6, 135.5, 135.7, 130.7, 127.8, 126.8, 126.6, 123.5, 70.5, 19.4; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/  $i$ -PrOH: 92/8, 0.7 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1 = 21.7$  min,  $t_2 = 27.3$  min.

o-Tolyl-4-pyridylmethanol<sup>30</sup> (4l): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.39 (dd, J = 4.6, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (ddd,  $J = 4.5, 1.3, 0.7$  Hz, 2H), [7.](#page-4-0)22–7.12 (m, 3H), 5.97 (s, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  152.7, 149.6, 140.6, 135.5, 131.1, 128.3, 127.7, 126.3, 121.9, 72.2, 19.6; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/*i*-PrOH: 96/4, 0.7 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1 = 55.7$  min,  $t_2 = 60.1$  min.

 $o$ -Tolyl-4-pyrazylmethanol $^{31}$  (4m):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.68 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 8.58–8.44 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.32  $(m, 2H)$ , 7.21 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2[H\),](#page-4-0) 6.08 (s, 1H), 2.39 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 148.4, 148.0, 141.0, 139.2, 135.0, 130.8, 127.8, 126.6, 126.4, 123.6, 70.8, 19.5; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/*i*-PrOH: 92/8, 0.7 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 220 nm)  $t_1$  = 21.4 min,  $t_2 = 22.2$  min.

*o*-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-pyridinemethanol $^{32}$  (5):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.54 (dd, J = 6.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (td, J = 7.7, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33−7.27 (m, 4H), 7.22−7.17 [\(m](#page-4-0), 1H), 7.15−7.11 (m, 1H), 5.72 (s, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 160.6, 148.0, 141.8, 137.1, 133.8, 128.8, 128.5, 122.7, 121.3, 74.4; HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane/i-PrOH: 95/5, 0.8 mL min<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> , 220 nm)  $t_1 = 20.0$  min,  $t_2 = 26.6$  min.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

The NMR and/or HPLC data of compounds 3−5. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ [AUTHO](http://pubs.acs.org)R INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: zhaoguo@sjtu.edu.cn.

### ■ ACK[NOWLEDGMENTS](mailto:zhaoguo@sjtu.edu.cn)

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality, and the Education Commission of Shanghai Municipality for financial support.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Tilford, C. H.; Shelton, R. S.; Van Campen, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 4001−4009. (b) Para, D. S. N.; Sherlork, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 1279−1280. (c) Barbieri, E. J.; Rossi, G. V.; Orzechowski, R. F. J. Pharm. Sci. 1973, 62, 648−651. (d) Simons, F. E.; Roberts, J. R.; Gu, X.; Kapur, S. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 1999, 103, 223−226. (e) Rennison, D.; Bova, S.; Cavalli, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 2963−2974.

(2) (a) Barnhart, E. R. Meincal Economics Co.: New Jersery, 1990, 1898−1902. (b) Roszkowski, A. P.; Govier, W. M. Pharmacologist 1959, 1, 60−78. (c) Barouh, V.; Dall, H.; Patel, D.; Hite, G. J. Med. Chem. 1971, 14, 834−838.

(3) (a) Braker, W. B. U.S. Patent. 905 993; Chem. Abstr. 1963, 58, 5644a. (b) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 5675− 5678.

(4) (a) Noyori, R. Asymmetric Catalysis in Organic Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1993. (b) Jacobsen, E. N. Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalyses; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1999. (c) Ojima, I. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 2000. (d) Lin, G.-Q. Principles and Applications of Asymmetric Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 2001. (e) Noyori, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 40−73.

(5) (a) Ohkuma, T.; Ooka, H.; Hashiguchi, S.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2675−2676. (b) Ohkuma, T.; Koizumi, M.; Doucet, H.; Pham, T.; Kozawa, M.; Murata, K.; Katayama, E.; Yokozawa, T.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 13529−13530. (c) Doucet, H.; Ohkuma, T.; Murata, K.; Yokozawa, T.; Kozawa, M.; Katayama, E.; England, A. F.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1703−1707.

(6) (a) Ohkuma, T.; Koizumi, M.; Ikehira, H.; Yokozawa, T.; Noyori, R. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 659−661. (b) Chen, C. Y.; Reamer, R. A.; Chilenski, J. R.; McWilliams, C. J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 5039−5042.

(7) Burk, M. J.; Hems, W.; Herzberg, D.; Malan, C.; Zanotti-Gerosa, A. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4173−4176.

(8) (a) Xie, J. H.; Wang, L. X.; Fu, Y.; Zhu, S. F.; Fan, B. M.; Duan, H. F.; Zhou, Q. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4404−4405. (b) Wu, J.; Chen, H.; Kwok, W.; Guo, R.; Zhou, Z.; Yeung, C.; Chan, A. S. C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7908−7910.

(9) Maerten, E.; Agbossou-Niedercorn, F.; Castanet, Y.; Mortreux, A. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 8700−8708.

(10) (a) Sun, Y.; Wan, X.; Guo, M.; Wang, D.; Dong, X.; Pan, Y.; Zhang, Z. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 2185−2188. (b) Wan, X.; Sun, Y.; Luo, Y.; Li, D.; Zhang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1070−1072. (c) Sun, Y.; Wan, X.; Wang, J.; Meng, Q.; Zhang, H.; Jiang, L.; Zhang, Z. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5425−5427. (d) Meng, Q.; Sun, Y.; Ratovelomanana-Vidal, V.; Genel,t, J. P.; Zhang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3842−3847. (e) Meng, Q.; Zhu, L.; Zhang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7209−7212. (f) Zhu, L.; Meng, Q.; Fan, W.; Xie, X.; Zhang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6027−6030. (g) Yao, Y.; Fan, W.; Li, W.; Ma, X.; Zhu, L.; Xie, X.; Zhang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2807−2813. (h) Wan, X.; Meng, Q.; Zhang, H.; Sun, Y.; Fan, W.; Zhang, Z.

Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5613−5616. (i) Li, W.; Ma, X.; Fan, W.; Tao, X.; Li, X.; Xie, X.; Zhang, Z. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3876−3879.

(11) Trabesinger, G.; Albinati, A.; Feiken, N.; Kunz, R. W.; Pregosin, P. S.; Tschoerner, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6315-6323.

(12) Tsutsumi, K.; Katayama, T.; Utsumi, N.; Murata, K.; Arai, N.; Kurono, N.; Ohkuma, T. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2009, 13, 625−628.

(13) Absolute configuration of 4a was assigned as R on the basis of its HPLC; see: Maerten, E.; Agbossou-Niedercorn, F.; Castanet, Y.; Mortreux, A. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 8700−8708.

(14) Absolute configuration of 4d was assigned as R on the basis of its optical rotation; see: Bojadziev, S. J.; Tsankov, D. T.; Ivanov, P. M.; Berova, N. D. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1987, 60, 2651−2655.

(15) (a) Fujii, A.; Hashiguchi, S.; Uematsu, N.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2521−2522. (b) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1986−2012.

(16) Casy, A. F.; Drake, A. F.; Ganellin, C. R.; Mercer, A. D.; Upton, C. Chirality 1992, 4, 356−366.

(17) (a) Watanabe, M.; Kuwahara, S.; Harada, N.; Koizumi, M.; Ohkuma, T. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 2075−2078. (b) Kuwahara, S.; Fujita, K.; Watanabe, M. Enantiomer 1997, 2, 359−366. (c) Harada, N.; Fujita, K.; Watanable, M. Enantiomer 1998, 3, 64−70. (d) Van der Stelt, C.; Heus, W. J.; Nauta, W. T. Arzneim. Forsch. 1969, 19, 2010−2012. (e) Rekker, R. F.; Timmerman, H.; Harms, A. F.; Nauta, W. T. Arzneim. Forsch. 1971, 21, 688−691.

(18) Reux, B.; Nevalainen, T.; Raitio, K. H.; Koskinen, A. M. P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009, 17, 4441−4447.

(19) Maerten, E.; Sauthier, M.; Mortreux, A.; Castanet, Y. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 682−689.

(20) Basil, B.; Coffee, E. C. J.; Gell, D. L.; Maxwell, D. R.; Sheffield, D. J.; Wooldridge, K. R. H. J. Med. Chem. 1970, 13, 403-406.

(21) Kim, S.-H.; Rieke, R. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5329−5331.

(22) Oloo, W.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Zhang, J.; Khaskin, E.; Vedernikov,

A. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14400−14402.

(23) Shibahara, F.; Sugiura, R.; Yamaguchi, E.; Kitagawa, A.; Murai, T. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3566−3568.

(24) Mukhopadhyay, R.; Kundu, N. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9927−9930.

(25) Kim, S. H.; Rieke, R. D. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 3135−3146.

(26) Huang, H.; Zheng, Z.; Luo, H.; Bai, C.; Hu, X.; Chen, H. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4137−4139.

(27) Lee, C. T.; Lipshutz, B. H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4187−4190.

(28) Rashkin, M. J.; Hughes, R. M.; Calloway, N. T.; Waters, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13320−13325.

(29) Sperber, N.; Papa, D.; Schwenk, E.; Sherlock, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 887−890.

(30) Efange, S. M. N.; Michelson, R. H.; Remmel, R. P.; Boudreau,

R. J.; Dutta, A. K.; Freshler, A. J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 3133−3138.

(31) Chuang, S.-C.; Khan, S. I.; Rubin, Y. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 6075− 6078.

(32) Froimowitz, M.; Gu, Y.; Dakin, L. A.; Nagafuji, P. M.; Kelley, C. J.; Parrish, D.; Deschamps, J. R.; Janowsky, A. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 50, 219−232.